

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 Breyonna Marie Abercrombie,
12 Plaintiff,
13 v.
14 Vestra Labs LLC, et al.,
15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:23-cv-01529-KJM-AC
ORDER

17 Counsel for defendant Vestra Labs LLC renews their previous motion to withdraw as
18 counsel. Renewed Mot., ECF No. 16; *see also* Prev. Mot., ECF No. 13. The previous motion
19 was denied without prejudice because moving counsel did not show they had taken reasonable
20 steps to avoid obvious risks of prejudice or counsel’s attempts to assist defendant in locating
21 substitute counsel. Prev. Order at 3, ECF No. 15. In both the previous and renewed motions,
22 moving counsel have informed the court that their obligations of confidentiality prevent a more
23 detailed discussion of the motions’ bases, any potential prejudice and similar topics. *See, e.g.*,
24 Estes Decl. ¶ 3, ECF No. 13-1; Renewed Mot. at 4.

25 In light of the potential prejudice to defendant, **within fourteen days**, defense counsel
26 shall email a supplemental declaration to the courtroom deputy, Mira Francel, for the court’s *in*
27 *camera* and confidential review. The declaration should explain the “specific facts giving rise to

1 [the pending] motion,” Renewed Mot. at 4, and defense counsel’s efforts to avoid prejudice to
2 their client. To be clear, the supplemental declaration **should not** be filed on the public docket.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: October 3, 2024.

5 
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE